## For the Northern District of California

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	MICHELLE LOU, MARSHA BEER, No. C 12-05409 WHA
9	SIMON NIM, and JESUAN RUIZ RODRIGUEZ, individually and on behalf
10	of all others similarly situated,
11	Plaintiffs,
12	V.
13	MA LABORATORIES, INC., ABRAHAM MA, CHRISTINE RAO, and CHRISTY  ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR
14	YEE, LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL (DKT. NO. 452)
15	Defendants.
16	
17	On March 13, defendants filed an administrative motion to seal (Dkt. No. 452). No
18	narrowly-tailored redactions were proposed. <i>See</i> Local Rule 79-5. The same day, plaintiffs filed
19	an opposition to defendants' overbroad sealing motion. Defendants' sealing motion is largely
20	unjustified. Accordingly, this order rules as follows:
21	The request to seal the entirety of the Declaration of Xinying Valerian in Support of
22	Plaintiffs' Application to Shorten Time (Dkt. 446-1) is <b>DENIED</b> .
23	• The request to seal the entirety of the hearing transcript, dated February 27, 2014, before
24	the undersigned judge is <b>DENIED</b> . That is, Exhibit A to the Declaration of Xinying
25	Valerian in Support of Plaintiffs' Application to Shorten Time (Dkt. 446-2) may remain
26	publicly available and the exhibit appended to the Declaration of Christine H. Long in

Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Temporarily

filed publicly. No good cause has been shown to seal the hearing transcript.

Enjoining Enforcement of Non-Final Settlement Agreement (Dkt. No. 452-4) shall be

•	The request to seal the entirety of the Declaration of Xinying Valerian in Support of
	Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Temporarily Enjoining Enforcement of Non-Final
	Settlement Agreement (Dkt. 446-5) is <b>DENIED</b> . To be clear, defendants' argument that
	plaintiffs' mere reference to "settlement checks" (with no reference to the amount(s)) is a
	"willful violation of the confidentiality aspect of the settlement stipulation" is
	unreasonable. There is no good cause to shield from the public the <i>fact</i> that defendants
	sent certain plaintiffs settlement checks.

• The request to seal the entirety of the Declaration of Christine H. Long In Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Temporarily Enjoining Enforcement of Non-Final Settlement Agreement is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 14, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE